THE MUSEUM COLLECTION AND LIBRARY UNITS SYNERGY IN CONSTRUCTING CULTURAL HERITAGE INFORMATION: CASE STUDY AT MUSEUM NASIONAL AND MUSEUM SEJARAH JAKARTA

by Y. Sumaryanto, MHum Dr. Laksmi (University of Indonesia)





I. RESEARCH BACKGROUND

o Museum

- centre of study, research and recreation
- information about its collection
 - conditio sine qua non
 - limited
- working procedure:
 - Standard \rightarrow routines trap
- Both museums
 - fail in achieving it
 - lack of background information of their collection

• Research urgency:

- need → research to describe what really happens in the interactions among agents working in the two museums and
- need → something to inspire synergy potentials to construct information

II. OBJECTIVE AND BENEFIT OF RESEARCH

• Objective :

• To describe the social interaction and role of agents in the synergy to construct cultural heritage information

• Benefit:

- to provide advice in the form of guidelines and strategies to be used by Museum Nasional and Museum Sejarah Jakarta.
- to produce literary publications in an international reputation journal

III. LITERATURE REVIEWS

- Synergy?
 - Interaction between two or more agents so as to produce a greater effect than that produced by each
 - The interaction involves role, knowledge, expertise, commitment of all agents, as well as structure and regulation. Synergy within an organization is known as cooperation or coordination (Stueart, 2002: 169).
- Unintegrated
 - Synergies in library and museum management or in information construction is not integrated. Each manages i.e. planning, organizing, directing, monitoring, and maintaining physically its own collection (Clayton and Gorman, 2001).

• Acquisition principle

- accept only collections in the specified theme
- collection may derive from research result, gift or loan

• Processing stage

- inventory and cataloging is considerd the most important aspects
- Information construction
 - Maintenance and preservation is also considered important

• represent Indonesian intact cultural heritage

• Structuration theory of Anthony Giddens

- Giddens' structuration theory is about the form of relationship between agents and structure in the form of duality relation in social practices which is repeated and patterned over space and time.
- e.g. museum exhibition at Museum Nasional and Museum Sejarah Jakarta.

• What is duality?

- The duality lies in the facts that a "guide like structure " which is the practice principle in various places and times are the result of repetations of human action.
- But the "rule like schemata" is also a vehicle (medium) for the ongoing social practices.
- Giddens called the schemata as structures.
- Structure is not seen as something that curbs but empowers (enables): allow social practices.

- Giddens sees it as a means (medium) and resource.
- According to Giddens there are three major groups of structures.
 - 1. Sign or sygnificance structures which relate to symbolic schemata, meaning, articulation, and discourse.
 - 2. Control or dominance structure which includes mastery on man (politics) and goods / things (economy).
 - 3. legitimacy structure which relates to normative regulations schemata in the rule of law.

• The centrality of space - time, and agents

- Time and space are usually understood as an arena or action stage (stages) where we go, where we go out.
- Without time and space, there will be no action.
- Therefore, time and space should be an integral element in the theory of the social sciences.
- Globalization can be seen as stretching as well as compression of time and space (time space distanciation) or distance action

- Agents and agencies is one important element in the concept of structuration.
- Reflexive monitoring of the actions of a single fixed element of everyday actions involves not only the individual, but also the behavior of other individuals.
- Rationalization of action is that the actors constantly maintain a theoretical understanding of their activities foundation
- In their actions there are practical as well as discursive consciousness

IV. RESEARCH METHODS

• Qualitative approach with case study method

- The research design enables us to understand the synergy practices in information construction done in both units
- Focus on data identification on cultural heritage
- And then processing
- Data collection
 - Interviews
 - Observation
 - Document analysis

• Research Location:

- Museum Nasional (Jl Medan Merdeka Barat No 12, Jakarta Pusat)
- Museum sejarah Jakarta (Jl Taman Fatahillah No 2, Jakarta Barat)

• Potentials

- First research on the topic
- Research procedure
 - Proposal ; Permission ; Approach to informants ; Observation and interviews ; Analysis ; Report

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- V1.1 Profile
- Museum Nasional (MN)
 - It used to be intellectual gathering (Baataviaasch Genootschap van Kunsten en Wetenschappen) meant to promote researches on arts and science especially biology, physics, archaeology, literature, ethnology and history
 - Established in 1862
 - to be the centre of cultural and tourism information (vision); Its mission among others are to promote security and comfort, information services, preservation, people appreciation, documention

- 140.000 museum objects (2006) consisted of ancient statues, inscription, ceramics, textiles, numismatics, historical relics, other valuable objects
- Collections are arranged according to

 (1) Religion system (2) Social system and organization (3) Knowledge system (4) Language (5) Arts (6) livelihood systems (7) Technology and equipment system
- 5 fields of organization structure

• Museum Sejarah Jakarta

- 17 century classical European architecture building; Kota tua Jakarta tourism spot
- Meant to record and inform the history of Jakarta city
- Established in 1937
- It aims to be excellent tourism spot (vision); Its mission among others are promoting research, preservation, exhibition,; information services on the history of jakarta city, assets use
- The principal is supported by administration and technical sections; the museum hires 10 full time employees and 4 freelances
- 30.476 museum objects (2008)

• V.2.1 Organization of cultural heritage information

- Position of organization personnels in MN is more clearly stated than that of MSJ
- MN has a more clear work procedure
- Acquisition and selection for exhibition (collection unit); museum collection physical data (registration unit); inventory (inventory unit); documentation (documentation unit) collection list of objects exhibited (cataloging unit); information services (library unit; volunteers)

• V.2.2 Information organization flow

- Information: end product to be used by public
- Physical logging → label, catalog and naration draft → information searching, librarians and volunteers help → curator check and recheck → text writing
- Research on collection . MSJ seldom does
- IHV helps a lot

• V.2.3 Information presentation

- Adjusted to theme chosen
- Physical form and its content:
 - Label (name of the artefact; materials; place and year found)
 - Brochure (address, location map, opening hours, picture, information concerning collection)
 - Catalog (history, provenance)
 - Books (article on ancient map, benefit, Indonesian existence in the map since 15 century)
 - Research reports
 - clipping

• V.3.1Synergy between collection unit and museum library unit

- Synergy practices are dynamic
- MN and MSJ have the same work climate
- Competition interaction
- Significance structure spread to dominance and legitimacy structure
- Collection unit dominates
- Library unit is dominated

• V.3.2 Agent and structure

- Awareness (agents) that knowledge or information mastery of museum objects considered important. In MSJ it is significantly seen
- Agents in the library unit do not have that knowledge; what they have are library technical knowledge
- Agents of MSJ are more productive
- Steven: interaction among language experts, volunteers, museum head and her staffs are not hormonious
- Among agents they have strong feeling about the use of terms

• V3.3 Dialectics between agents and structure in constructing information

- Knowledge capital and control on museum objects make curators in the collection unit dominate roles in information construction
- As a consequence agents work on their own interest
- Implication on the regulation or structures that unsupport agents from the library units (small budget; unbalanced facilites; bad images, supporting role)

VI. CONCLUSIONS

- Synergy can not be established fully yet due to
 - Domination structure
 - Library unit \rightarrow to be the last resource
 - Limited facilities owned by library unit →Poor library service
 - Structural implication on regulation : limited fund for library materials acquisition, images

0

• Suggestion

- Improve librarian knowledge quality/ master qualification
- Change information organization working procedure